

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/955,969 09/20/2001		09/20/2001	Yoshitsugu Hama	2001_1299A	1913
513	7590	05/17/2004		EXAMINER	
	ROTH, LI FREET N. '	ND & PONACK, L w	MENON, KRISHNAN S		
SUITE 80			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHIN	GTON, DO	20006-1021	1723		

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    O9/955,969	·						
Examiner		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Period for Reply		09/955,969	HAMA ET AL				
The MALLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Repty  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Estrations of time may be waited under the provisions of 3° CFR 1.18(e). In or evert, however, may a repty be timely filed of the 10x (0) 40x PINS from the maining date of this communication. of 3° CFR 1.18(e). In or evert, however, may a repty be timely filed of white 10x (0) 40x PINS from the maining date of this communication. Period of the 10x PINS from the maining date of this communication of 10x PINS from the maining date of this communication. Period from 10x PINS from 10x PINS from the maining date of this communication to become ABANCONEC (03 U.S.C. § 133). Second part of the 10x PINS from 10x	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Ederations of time may be available under the provisions of ② CFF 1.136(a). In re-event, however, may a reply be timely filed.  Ederations of time may be available under the provisions of ② CFF 1.136(a). In re-event, however, may a reply be timely filed.  Ederations of time may be available under the provisions of ② CFF 1.136(a). In re-event, however, may a reply be timely filed.  Ederations of time may be available under the provisions of ② CFF 1.136(a). In re-event, however, may a reply be timely filed.  Ederations of the provision							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of tem may be existed under the provisions of 3°CPR 1.36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limity filed after SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the provision of the six of the communication of the six o	Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 April 2004.  2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.  3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)□ Claim(s) 1-3.6-14 and 17-19 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-11 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)□ Claim(s)	<ul> <li>THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any</li> </ul>						
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-3.6-14 and 17-19 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-11 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some 'c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Prioral Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  7) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  7) Notice of Informal Patent Ap	Status						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-3.6-14 and 17-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-11 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheel(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ap	<u>oril 2004</u> .					
Closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
4)	,	,					
4)	Disposition of Claims						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on	<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-3,6-14 and 17-19 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-11 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-3,6-8,12-14 and 17-19 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> </ul>						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:	Application Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6	9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  6) Other:							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  6) Other:	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	<ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Attachment(s)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)						
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P					

Art Unit: 1723

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-3,6-8, 12-14 and 17-19 are pending in the RCE. Claims 9-11 are withdrawn from consideration and claims 4,5,15 and 16 are cancelled.

### Specification

Applicant has incorporated the Japanese application 2000-289,409 by reference on page 5 last line.

The incorporation of essential material in the specification by reference to a foreign application or patent, or to a publication is improper. Applicant is required to amend the disclosure to include the material incorporated by reference. The amendment must be accompanied by an affidavit or declaration executed by the applicant, or a practitioner representing the applicant, stating that the amendatory material consists of the same material incorporated by reference in the referencing application. See *In re Hawkins*, 486 F.2d 569, 179 USPQ 157 (CCPA 1973); *In re Hawkins*, 486 F.2d 579, 179 USPQ 163 (CCPA 1973); and *In re Hawkins*, 486 F.2d 577, 179 USPQ 167 (CCPA 1973).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1723

1. Claims 1-3,6,7, 12-14, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goettmann et al (US 5,133,835).

Goettmann et al (US 5,133,835) teaches a support comprising a non-woven fabric with a main fiber and a binder fiber formed of synthetic resin (abstract), manufactured by paper making process (col 1 lines 5-12) and then heating and pressing (col 2 lines 55-61 – calendered), having tensile strength ratio between the machine and transverse direction within 2:1 to 1:1, and air permeability of 0.5-7 cc/cm2/sec (see table II) as in claim 1, and also calendered as in claim 12.

Re the limitations of porosity of 5 to 15 microns in claims 1 and 12: Goettmann et al (US 5,133,835) teaches a support with two different fibers and manufactured by the paper making process and heat-pressed. Goettmann et al (US 5,133,835) teaches all parameters of the non-woven such as material, fiber decitex, tensile strengths, and air permeability (abstract, tables) as taught by the instant application, except the porosity of the membrane as being between 5 and 15 microns. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention that since the non-woven as taught by Goettmann et al (US 5,133,835) has materials and properties similar to what is disclosed by the instant application, and is made by similar methods, the pore size also would be inherently similar. Applicant discloses the air permeabilities and pore sizes as related for the intended property – penetration of casting solution [eg., "On the contrary, when the semipermeable membrane support has an air permeability of not less than 7.0 cc/cm2/sec, or has an average pore size of not less than 15 µm, the penetration of the

Art Unit: 1723

(polymer) casting solution into the semipermeable membrane support is too much and thereby, the problem of partial over-penetration of the (polymer) casting solution to the back surface is likely to come up" — see specification page 4, first paragraph]. Where applicant claims a composition in terms of a function, property or characteristic and the composition of the prior art is the same as that of the claim but the function is not explicitly disclosed by the reference, the examiner may make a rejection under both 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103, expressed as a 102/103 rejection. "There is nothing inconsistent in concurrent rejections for obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103 and for anticipation under 35 U.S.C. 102." In re Best, 562 F.2d 1252, 1255 n.4, 195 USPQ 430, 433 n.4 (CCPA 1977). This same rationale should also apply to product, apparatus, and process claims claimed in terms of function, property or characteristic. Therefore, a 35 U.S.C. 102/103 rejection is appropriate for these types of claims as well as for composition claims.

The main fiber is polyester (col 2 lines 25-30) with fineness is between 0.6 and 8.9 decitex (tables); (1 denier = 1 gm for 9000m fiber as opposed to 1 decitex = 1g for 10,000 m.) as in instant claims 2, 13, 3 and 14;. The support would be capable of preventing bending in the width direction during manufacture of the semipermeable membrane, since it meets the tensile strength requirements (table III) as in instant claim 6 and 17. Tensile ratio is between 1.5:1 and 1:1 as in claim 7 and 18(table II). Goettmann et al (US 5,133,835) teaches a support made by heating and pressing after paper making (col 2 lines 13-25) with tensile strength ratio 1:1 (table II).

Art Unit: 1723

2. Claims 1-3,6-8, 12-14 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shinjou et al (US 4,795,559).

Shinjou (559) teaches a semipermeable membrane support comprising a non-woven fabric of a single layer with a main fiber and a binder fiber formed of synthetic resin, manufactured by paper making process and then heating and pressing, having tensile strength ratio between the machine and transverse direction as equal (abstract, col 5 lines 55-63), and air permeability of 0.5-7 cc/cm2/sec (abstract) as in claim 1, and also calendered as in claim 12. Re the newly added limitation of "of a single layer", it may be noted that Shinju (559) teaches "comprising a non-woven fabric of a single layer including main fiber and binder fiber" because each of the low density and the high density layers of Shinju independently have that structure (see col 3 lines 11-36 for the low density layer; example 1 lines 5-15 for the high density layer), and the claim language does not exclude a non-woven fabric of a single layer from having another layer laminated to it.

Re the limitations of porosity of 5 to 15 microns in claims 1 and 12: Shinjou (559) teaches a semipermeable membrane support with two different fibers and manufactured by the paper making process and heat-pressed. Shinjou (559) teaches all parameters of the non-woven such as material, fiber decitex, tensile strengths, and air permeability (abstract, col 5 lines 55-63, tables) as taught by the instant application, except the porosity of the membrane as being between 5 and 15 microns. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention that since the non-woven as taught

Art Unit: 1723

by Shinjou (559) has materials and properties similar to what is disclosed by the instant application, and is made by similar methods, the pore size also would be similar.

The fiber fineness is between 0.6 and 8.9 decitex (abstract, col 3 lines 37-43, examples); (1 denier = 1 gm for 9000m fiber as opposed to 1 decitex = 1g for 10,000 m.) as in instant claims 2, 13, 3 and 14;. The support would be capable of preventing bending in the width direction during manufacture of the semipermeable membrane, since it meets the tensile strength requirements (col 5 lines 55-63) as in instant claim 6 and 17. Tensile ratio is 1:1 as in claim 7 and 18(col 5 lines 55-63). Shinjou (559) teaches a semipermeable membrane support made by heating and pressing after paper making (abstract, col 3 lines 37-43) with tensile strength ratio 1:1 (col 5 lines 55-63) having the membrane-coated front surface rougher than the rear surface as in instant claim 8 and 19.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see response, filed 4/6/04, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 1-3,6,7, 12-14, 17 and 18 under 35 USC 103(a) have been fully considered and are not persuasive. Applicants' claim language reads "... support comprising a non-woven fabric of a single layer including main fiber and binder fiber ...". Shinju ref teaches a non-woven fabric having two layers laminated together, with each layer having main fiber and binder fiber (see rejection). The open-ended claim language does not exclude other layers, if there is 'a [single] layer having main fiber and binder fiber'. The reference has a support *comprising a non-woven fabric of a single layer* 

Art Unit: 1723

including a main fiber and a binder fiber in the high density layer (or in the low density layer); having another layer laminated to it does not make the reference not anticipating the limitation of the claim.

### **Conclusion**

This action is in response to RCE filed 4/29/04, and is made non-final.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krishnan S Menon whose telephone number is 571-272-1143. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L Walker can be reached on 571-272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Krishnan Menon Patent Examiner

W. L. WALKER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700